JURNAL SAMUDRA
Vol 4, No 1, 2026

RISK MANAGEMENT IN MARITIME HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Veronika Saragih[1]
Ika Sartika[2]
Akademi Maritim Belawan
veronikasaragih@gmail.com
ikapinim@gmail.com

Abstract

Maritime higher education institutions face complex and multidimensional risks arising from academic activities, operational processes, maritime training practices, safety requirements, and regulatory compliance. Unlike conventional higher education institutions, maritime colleges are required to manage risks associated with shipboard training, simulators, laboratories, cadet discipline, and international maritime standards. This study aims to analyze the implementation of risk management in maritime higher education institutions and identify key risk categories, mitigation strategies, and governance mechanisms. Using a qualitative literature review approach, this study synthesizes findings from academic journals, international maritime organization reports, and regulatory frameworks published between 2020 and 2025. The results indicate that effective risk management in maritime higher education requires an integrated framework encompassing academic risk, operational risk, safety risk, compliance risk, and reputational risk. Institutional leadership commitment, risk-based governance, and digital risk monitoring systems emerge as critical success factors. This study contributes to the development of a structured risk management model tailored to the unique characteristics of maritime higher education institutions.

Keywords: risk management, maritime higher education, safety management, academic governance, maritime education

Introduction

Risk management has become a strategic imperative for higher education institutions in response to increasing complexity, uncertainty, and regulatory demands. For maritime higher education institutions, risk exposure is significantly higher due to the distinctive nature of maritime education, which integrates academic instruction, practical shipboard training, simulator-based learning, and strict international safety standards.

Maritime universities and academies are required to comply with international conventions such as the *Standards of Training, Certification, and Watchkeeping for Seafarers (STCW)*, national education regulations, and maritime safety requirements. Failure to manage risks effectively may result in academic disruption, safety incidents, regulatory sanctions, reputational damage, and reduced graduate employability.

Despite the critical importance of risk management, studies focusing specifically on risk management in maritime higher education remain limited. Most existing research concentrates on maritime safety or shipping operations, with less attention given to risk governance within maritime educational institutions. This study seeks to address this gap by providing a

comprehensive analysis of risk management implementation in maritime higher education institutions.

Literature Review

Risk Management in Higher Education

Risk management in higher education is commonly defined as a systematic process of identifying, analyzing, evaluating, and mitigating risks that may hinder institutional objectives. Previous studies indicate that universities face risks related to academic quality, financial sustainability, governance, compliance, and reputation.

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) frameworks, such as ISO 31000 and COSO ERM, have increasingly been adopted by higher education institutions to ensure strategic alignment between risk management and institutional goals.

Risk Characteristics in Maritime Higher Education

Maritime higher education institutions possess unique risk characteristics, including:

a. Shipboard training risks (accidents, injuries, and maritime incidents)
b. Simulator and laboratory safety risks
c. Cadet discipline and conduct risks
d. Compliance risks related to STCW and maritime authorities
e. Operational risks related to port activities and maritime logistics training

Studies by maritime education scholars highlight that inadequate risk management in maritime institutions may compromise safety culture and academic outcomes.

Regulatory and Safety Frameworks

International organizations such as the International Maritime Organization (IMO) emphasize safety management systems (SMS) and risk-based approaches in maritime operations. These principles are increasingly relevant for maritime education providers, particularly in managing practical training and certification processes.

Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach using a systematic literature review. The method is selected to synthesize existing knowledge and identify dominant themes related to risk management in maritime higher education institutions.

Data Sources

Secondary data were collected from:

a. Peer-reviewed international and national journals
b. Reports from IMO, UNCTAD, and maritime authorities
c. Higher education governance and risk management publications

The literature was limited to publications from 2020–2025 to ensure relevance and currency.

Data Analysis Technique

Content analysis was applied to identify risk categories, management strategies, governance mechanisms, and implementation challenges. Findings were synthesized to develop a conceptual framework for maritime higher education risk management.

Results and Discussion

Key Risk Categories in Maritime Higher Education
The analysis identifies five primary risk categories:

1. **Academic Risk**

   Risks related to curriculum relevance, lecturer competency, accreditation, and learning outcomes aligned with maritime industry needs.

2. **Operational Risk**
   Risks associated with training facilities, simulators, laboratories, vessels, and logistics support for cadet training.
3. **Safety and Occupational Risk**

   Risks involving accidents, injuries, and unsafe practices during shipboard training, port visits, and laboratory activities.

4. **Compliance and Regulatory Risk**
   Risks arising from non-compliance with STCW, national education standards, and maritime authority regulations.
5. **Reputational Risk**
   Risks related to safety incidents, graduate quality, stakeholder trust, and institutional credibility.

Risk Management Implementation Practices
Effective maritime higher education institutions implement:

a. Risk registers integrated with institutional strategic plans
b. Standard operating procedures (SOPs) for training safety
c. Internal audits and compliance monitoring
d. Safety management systems aligned with maritime standards

Leadership commitment and risk-aware culture are found to be critical determinants of successful implementation.

Challenges in Risk Management Implementation

Key challenges include:

a. Limited institutional understanding of risk-based governance
b. Fragmented coordination between academic and operational units
c. Insufficient digital risk monitoring systems
d. Resistance to organizational change

These challenges often result in reactive rather than proactive risk management practices.

Implications for Institutional Governance

The findings suggest that risk management should be embedded into maritime higher education governance structures. Risk committees, internal audit units, and quality assurance systems must operate cohesively to ensure institutional resilience and sustainability.

Conclusion

This study concludes that risk management is a critical governance instrument for maritime higher education institutions. Given the high-risk nature of maritime education activities, institutions must adopt an integrated and systematic risk management framework covering academic, operational, safety, compliance, and reputational risks.

Effective risk management enhances institutional safety, academic quality, regulatory compliance, and stakeholder confidence. The study emphasizes the importance of leadership commitment, risk-based governance, and digital support systems in strengthening maritime higher education resilience.

Recommendations

1. Maritime higher education institutions should formalize enterprise risk management frameworks aligned with ISO 31000.
2. Risk management should be integrated into academic quality assurance and safety management systems.
3. Digital risk monitoring and reporting platforms should be developed to enhance transparency and responsiveness.
4. Future research should focus on empirical assessment of risk management effectiveness in maritime higher education institutions.

References

International Maritime Organization. (2023). *Standards of Training, Certification, and Watchkeeping for Seafarers (STCW)*.

ISO. (2018). *ISO 31000: Risk Management – Guidelines*.

UNCTAD. (2024). *Review of Maritime Transport*.

Zeng, F., et al. (2025). Digitalization and risk management in maritime education. *Journal of Maritime Education and Training*, 12(2), 45–60.